

### ELECTION

#### A. Office Action Restriction Requirement of Inventions and Election in Response Thereto.

1. On Page 2 of the first Office action, Applicant's pending claims were determined to be directed to the following plurality of patentably distinct inventions:

Group I: Claims 1-16, drawn to an interposer, classified in class 324, subclass 755;

Group II: Claims 17-27, drawn to a system for testing a semiconductor device, classified in class 324, subclass 765;

Group III: Claims 28-29, drawn to a method for manufacturing an interposer, classified in class 29, subclass 832; and

Group IV: Claims 30-38, drawn to a method for testing a semiconductor device, classified in class 324, subclass 765.

2. In response to the restriction requirement contained in the Office Action, the Applicant hereby elects without traverse for prosecution purposes the claims of Group I, namely Claims 1-16.

#### B. Office Action Delineation of Species and election

1. On Pages 3-4 of the Office Action, the claims of Group I were indicated to be directed to three (3) patentably distinct species of the claimed invention, including:

- (i) the species of Fig. 6;
- (ii) the species of Fig. 7; and
- (iii) the species of Fig. 8.